

## 2021 European Quality Assurance Forum

### Building trust and enhancement: from information to evidence

Online event  
18-19 November 2021

### Call for contributions: Paper submission form

Deadline 26 July 2021

*Please note that all fields are obligatory. For a detailed description of the submission requirements and Frequently Asked Questions please consult the Call for Contributions.*

#### Author

**Name:** Jef Cox

**Position:** Quality Assurance Officer at the Educational Quality Monitoring Unit; member of the Una Europa Quality Assurance Cluster

**Organisation:** KU Leuven

**Country:** Belgium

**E-mail address:** [jef.cox@kuleuven.be](mailto:jef.cox@kuleuven.be)

**Short bio (150 words max):** Jef Cox is currently serving as a quality assurance officer at the Educational Quality Monitoring Unit of KU Leuven. In this role, he contributes to various aspects of educational quality assurance, including the preparations for external review procedures at both institutional and programme level, the development of a strong internal quality culture, and the external and international dimensions of internal quality assurance. He is also involved in the Quality Assurance Cluster of Una Europa, an alliance of eight European universities with KU Leuven as one of the partners. Previously, Jef has been active as Policy and Review Officer at MusiQuE – Music Quality Enhancement, an EQAR-registered quality assurance agency dedicated to the continuous improvement of the quality of higher music education across Europe and beyond. Jef holds master's degrees in history and European studies, all from KU Leuven.

#### Co-authors

**Name:** Piet Verhesschen

**Position:** Director Educational Policy Unit; chair of the Una Europa Quality Assurance Cluster

**Organisation:** KU Leuven

**Country:** Belgium

**E-mail address:** [piet.verhesschen@kuleuven.be](mailto:piet.verhesschen@kuleuven.be)

**Short bio (150 words max):** Piet Verhesschen is director of the Educational Policy Unit of KU Leuven since 2004. Previously, he was a researcher at the Center for Educational Policy and Innovation and at the Center for Philosophy of Education where he obtained his Ph.D. in 2001. From 2001 till 2004 he was a staff member of the Educational Support Office. In his capacity as director of the Educational Policy Unit he is secretary of the Education Council of KU Leuven and a member of several working groups within the Flemish Interuniversity Council and the Flemish Education Council. For several years he was a member of the Flemish Team of Bologna Experts. He is currently chair of the Quality Assurance Cluster of Una Europa.

**Name:** Agnetha Broos

**Position:** Educational Policy Expert at the Educational Policy Unit; advisor to the Una Europa Quality Assurance Cluster

**Organisation:** KU Leuven

**Country:** Belgium

**E-mail address:** [agnetha.broos@kuleuven.be](mailto:agnetha.broos@kuleuven.be)

**Short bio (150 words max):** After having obtained a Ph.D in Social Sciences (2006, KU Leuven), Agnetha Broos went on to become head of a research team and lecturer at the University College of

Mechelen. She was head of research policy and chaired the Research Council of Erasmus Brussels University College, after which she returned to KU Leuven to advise the Rector's Offices on matters at the crossroads of diversity, education and student policy. In 2012, she joined the Educational Policy Unit of KU Leuven, where she is responsible for the university's programme portfolio, the policy aspects of curriculum development, new (degree) programmes, national and European initial accreditation procedures and internationally collaborative programmes.

**Name:** Gerd Helm

**Position:** Managing Director of Quality Management; member of the Una Europa Quality Assurance Cluster

**Organisation:** Freie Universität Berlin

**Country:** Germany

**E-mail address:** [gerd.helm@fu-berlin.de](mailto:gerd.helm@fu-berlin.de)

**Name:** Barbara Neri

**Position:** Head of the Teaching Quality Assurance and Teaching Innovation Unit; member of the Una Europa Quality Assurance Cluster

**Organisation:** Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna

**Country:** Italy

**E-mail address:** [b.neri@unibo.it](mailto:b.neri@unibo.it)

**Short bio (150 words max):** Barbara Neri has developed a deep knowledge of organizational systems and work themes. After graduating at the University of Bologna, she started the activity as H.R. consultant in public and private companies. She currently works in the "Education and PhD programme Division" of the University of Bologna where she is head of "Teaching Quality Assurance and Teaching Innovation Unit"; at the same time she collaborates with organizations and professional associations for the innovation of training systems and processes and carries out activities as a H.R. trainer and consultant. In the past, she was head of the training system and organizational development in the "People and Organization Unit" of the University of Bologna and member of the Board of Directors of the Italian Association of Trainers, and President of AIF-Emilia Romagna.

**Name:** Angela Ribeiro Cavazutti

**Position:** Administrative Officer; member of the Una Europa Quality Assurance Cluster

**Organisation:** Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna

**Country:** Italy

**E-mail address:** [angela.ribeiro@unibo.it](mailto:angela.ribeiro@unibo.it)

**Short bio (150 words max):** Angela Ribeiro Cavazutti is an administrative officer at the University of Bologna, at the "Education and PhD programme Division". Graduated in Political Sciences, since 2006 she has been working at the University of Bologna in different units dealing with teaching and learning and she has been involved in activities and projects concerning the development of degree programmes quality assurance and international dimension.

**Name:** Elena De Sanctis

**Position:** Senior Quality Officer at the Teaching Quality Assurance and Teaching Innovation Unit; member of the Una Europa Quality Assurance Cluster

**Organisation:** Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna

**Country:** Italy

**E-mail address:** [elena.desanctis@unibo.it](mailto:elena.desanctis@unibo.it)

**Short bio (150 words max):** Elena De Sanctis holds a Master's degree in Communication Sciences and a post-graduate programme "degree" in Marketing management. After working for private companies in the marketing area, she is now currently involved in quality assurance processes at the University of Bologna, where she is senior Quality Officer at the "Teaching Quality Assurance and Teaching Innovation Unit" in the "Education and PhD programme Division". Her competences revolve around the following main areas: degree programmes self-evaluation processes, national accreditation procedures and instruments and methodologies for the implementation of teaching quality assurance systems in higher education institutions.

**Name:** Tina Harrison

**Position:** Assistant Principal Academic Standards and Quality Assurance; Professor of Financial Services, Marketing and Consumption; member of the Una Europa Quality Assurance Cluster

**Organisation:** University of Edinburgh

**Country:** United Kingdom

**E-mail address:** [tina.harrison@ed.ac.uk](mailto:tina.harrison@ed.ac.uk)

**Short bio (150 words max):** Tina joined the University of Edinburgh in 1993 as lecturer in Marketing. She is currently Professor of Financial Services Marketing and Consumption and also has a University role as Assistant Principal with overall responsibility for the University's academic standards and quality assurance arrangements. In her role as Assistant Principal for Academic Standards and Quality Assurance she is convener of the Senate Quality Assurance Committee. She plays a prominent role in the development of quality assurance at a national level as member of the QAA Scotland External Institutional Review Advisory Group, the sparqs (student partnerships in quality Scotland) Advisory Group, and the SCQF (Scottish Credit and Qualifications) Quality Committee. She is the project co-ordinator of a large European Commission-funded project that is supporting the development of quality assurance processes in the Kosovan higher education sector. She has successfully led the University through two external institutional reviews in 2011 and in 2015.

**Name:** Sonia Martin López

**Position:** Associate Professor; member of the Una Europa Quality Assurance Cluster

**Organisation:** Universidad Complutense de Madrid

**Country:** Spain

**E-mail address:** [smartin@ucm.es](mailto:smartin@ucm.es)

**Short bio (150 words max):** Sonia Martín López is a Doctor, Associate Professor in the Accounting and Finance Department in the Faculty of Economic and Business Administration at the Complutense University and a quality assurance expert. She has been Vice Dean of Quality at the Faculty of Economic and Business Administration for four years. During this period she participated in the process of obtaining the design accreditation AUDIT, the institutional accreditation granted by ANECA, the national quality agency in Spain. Moreover, she has collaborated in several quality innovation projects at the Faculty of Economic and Business Administration. She is currently the Academic Secretary of the Quality Office of the Complutense University of Madrid. In recent years, she has focused on working to improve the quality at different levels of the University Complutense. Furthermore, she is one of the members of the Una Europa Quality Assurance Cluster.

**Name:** Begoña García Greciano

**Position:** Full-time tenured professor at Faculty of Economics and Business; member of the Una Europa Quality Assurance Cluster

**Organisation:** Universidad Complutense de Madrid

**Country:** Spain

**E-mail address:** [beggarci@ucm.es](mailto:beggarci@ucm.es)

**Short bio (150 words max):** Professor García Greciano has been a researcher at the Savings Banks Foundation (FUNCAS) in Quantitative Economy and Regional Economy programs. Her research themes include macroeconomics, economic growth, regional economy, migratory movements, education and labor market. She has participated in 20 educational innovation projects of which he has been responsible for 9 projects. She has been Dean of the Faculty of Economics and Business Administration and Director of the Department of Economic Analysis. She has held the following regarding quality-related positions at UCM: President of the Quality Commissions for Bachelors, Masters and Doctorates at Faculty of Economics and Business, member of the Teaching Staff Quality Commission, Quality Commission of the Master's Degree in Teacher Training, Advisory Committee of the Vice-Rectorate for Quality, Expert Committee of the Vice-Rectorate for Quality. She has received the Excellent DOCENTIA Award for teaching excellence and the Medal of Honor from the UCM.

**Name:** Päivi Aronen

**Position:** Specialist at the Teaching and Learning Services; member of the Una Europa Quality Assurance Cluster

**Organisation:** Helsingin Yliopisto / Helsingfors universitet

**Country:** Finland

**E-mail address:** [paivi.aronen@helsinki.fi](mailto:paivi.aronen@helsinki.fi)

**Name:** Malgorzata Winiarska-Brodowska

**Position:** Assistant Professor, Proxy of the Dean of the Faculty of Management and Social Communication for Quality Assurance, Chairwoman of the Faculty's Team for Quality Assurance, member of the Una Europa Quality Assurance Cluster.

**Organisation:** Uniwersytet Jagielloński w Krakowie

**Country:** Poland

**E-mail address:** [Malgorzata.brodowska@uj.edu.pl](mailto:Malgorzata.brodowska@uj.edu.pl)

**Short bio (150 words max):** Dr Malgorzata Winiarska-Brodowska, Assistant Professor in the Institute of Journalism, Media and Social Communication of the Jagiellonian University (JU), holds a Ph.D in Political Sciences. She studied Journalism (JU) and Cultural Studies (JU) as well as European Studies (University of Vienna). Currently she holds a position of Proxy of the Dean of the Faculty of Management and Social Communication for Quality Assurance as well as Chairwoman of the Faculty's Team for Quality Assurance and represents the Jagiellonian University in the UNA Europa Quality Assurance Cluster. She has been active in the European Communication Research and Education Association as a vice-chair of the Communication and the European Public Sphere TWG (2017-2019) as well as the Central and East-European Network (since 2019). She authored several dozen scientific publications, held fellowships and managed several international research projects in the field of international communication and media in Europe.

**Name:** Francisca-Sofia Cabezas

**Position:** Quality project coordinator, Staff member Strategic Steering Support Unit; member of the Una Europa Quality Assurance Cluster

**Organisation:** Université Paris 1 Panthéon-Sorbonne

**Country:** France

**E-mail address:** [Francisca-Sofia.Cabezas@univ-paris1.fr](mailto:Francisca-Sofia.Cabezas@univ-paris1.fr)

**Short bio (150 words max):** Francisca Cabezas holds a Bachelor's degree in Psychology from Pontificia universidad catolica de Valparaiso and a Master's degree in University development and management from Université Paris-Est Créteil. She has more than eight years of professional experience in quality assurance, including advisory support in French HEI's.

## Proposal

**Title:**

**Quality Assurance in the context of a European University: a lean and trust-based approach for joint programmes.**

**Abstract (150 words max):**

*This papers presents a quality assurance approach for joint programmes which has been developed by the Una Europa alliance.*

*The Una Europa partners developed a lean joint internal quality assurance strategy for the joint programmes, which seeks to build on the existing practices within the partner institutions to the maximum extent. Trust between the partners is the key aspect of the joint quality assurance strategy.*

*The approach is based on the principles of verified trust, subsidiarity and core practices. From this follows a need for accountability and transparency. To ensure transparency, the partners share information on their internal quality assurance methods in a 'knowledge base'.*

*Furthermore, the partners agreed on core practices for a smooth operation of the joint quality assurance system: involvement of stakeholders, timing, scope, tools and communication.*

*Based on this lean strategy, all Una Europa partners commit themselves to safeguarding the quality of joint programmes on a continuous basis.*

**Has this paper previously been published/presented elsewhere? If yes, give details.**

No

**Indicate whether your contribution is based on practice, policy or research:**

Practice and policy

**Text of paper (3000 words max):**

**Introduction**

Since the launch of the European Universities Initiative, the European Higher Education Area is undergoing a slow but certain transformation. European Universities are becoming important forces of change in all aspects of higher education, not in the least in the field of quality assurance. The launch of new international joint programmes and courses brings about new questions regarding the quality

assurance of these educational formats: What is needed in order to guarantee the quality of programmes with contributions from many participating institutions? Is there a need for a new overarching quality assurance system? Which data to collect regarding the quality of locally organised courses? How do we bring information coming from diverse sources together?

### About Una Europa

The Una Europa alliance brings together eight leading research universities:

- Freie Universität Berlin;
- Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna;
- University of Edinburgh;
- Helsingin Yliopisto / Helsingfors universitet;
- Uniwersyte Jagiellonski w Krakowie;
- KU Leuven;
- Universidad Complutense de Madrid;
- Université Paris 1 Panthéon-Sorbonne.

Una Europa aims to draw on its collective strengths to create a truly European inter-university environment, a future-looking European virtual campus. To translate this joint ambition into concrete actions, two core projects have been launched. The 1Europe project was funded among the first European University pilots selected by the European Commission in June 2019 and focuses on a strong collaboration related to education. The second core project, Una.Resin (launched in 2020), focuses on the development of a joint strategy for research and innovation.

### Ambitious joint formats: towards joint bachelor programmes

In the framework of the 1Europe project, the Una Europa partners are developing and implementing joint innovative formats for education and mobility. The most ambitious joint format is without a doubt the joint bachelor. The members of the Alliance are creating a joint bachelor in European Studies and a joint bachelor in Sustainability. The joint bachelor in European Studies is currently (July 2021) undergoing its accreditation procedure, either according to the European Approach for Quality Assurance of Joint Programmes or according to national regulations in countries where the European Approach is not yet allowed. The first cohort of students is expected to start in the academic year 2022 – 2023.

### A lean joint internal quality assurance strategy, based on trust between partners

In preparation of the launch of the joint bachelor in European Studies and in accordance to the requirements formulated by the European Approach, the Una Europa partners developed a joint quality assurance system for the joint bachelor. This system will be tested and further optimised in the first years of operation of the joint bachelor in European Studies, and will also serve as a blueprint for the quality assurance of future joint programmes and courses to be developed in the context of Una Europa.

The joint quality assurance system presented in this paper has been developed in close consultation between all the partners, in the context of the Una Europa Quality Assurance Cluster. This Cluster is composed of quality assurance experts of the eight Una Europa partner institutions and led by the KU Leuven. This joint internal quality assurance strategy enables the partners to continuously oversee and enhance the quality of the joint programme, in collaboration with all relevant stakeholders.

In essence, the partners choose to develop a lean joint internal quality assurance strategy for joint programmes, which seeks to build on the existing practices within the partners institutions to the maximum extent, adding only minimal agreements required to ensure smooth communication and cooperation on enhancement activities between the partners.

#### **1. A common understanding: trust in each other's internal quality assurance systems**

Trust between the partners is the key aspect of the joint internal quality assurance strategy of Una Europa.

Each partner involved in the delivery of the programme undergoes cyclical external reviews, either on institutional and/or on programme level, in which the internal quality assurance methods applied by the institution are being scrutinized. These external reviews are carried out systematically by trustworthy agencies which have demonstrated to be operating in line with the Standards and Guidelines for Quality Assurance in the EHEA (ESG). This allows the partners to have full confidence in the fitness for purpose of the quality management systems of each partner institution.

Based on the common understanding that each of the partner institutions operates a well-functioning internal quality assurance system, the partners opted to make maximal use of the partners' existing methods. This allows for a lean joint strategy, which avoids duplicating efforts and which is focused on effectiveness and continuous improvement.

At the same time, when designing the internal quality assurance strategy, the partners acknowledged the need for a joint framework to ensure effective decision-making and communication between the partners. All partners need to know exactly what each partner is responsible for, how the outcomes of each partner's internal quality assurance procedures are shared, and how actions for further enhancement are discussed and dealt with whenever required. To this end, the partners decided to take three main actions in order to design a joint overarching system:

- Establishing general principles for the internal quality assurance;
- Establishing a joint structure to monitor the quality of the entire programme;
- Ensuring transparency in the functioning of the internal quality assurance systems of each partner.

Together, these elements should allow for a solid and practically feasible approach to implement a joint quality assurance system for joint programmes, with a good balance between central management at programme level and flexibility to act at the level of the partner institutions.

## 2. General principles: verified trust, subsidiarity and core practices

The Una Europa partners jointly established three main principles for the internal quality assurance of joint programmes: the principle of verified trust, the principle of subsidiarity and the principle of core practices.

- *Principle of verified trust:* with the principle of verified trust, the partners indicate that each institution receives the trust from the other partners to apply its own quality assurance system at institutional level to the parts of the programme which the partner is responsible for. At the same time, however, each partner agrees to communicate in a complete and transparent way about its quality assurance mechanisms and activities, and justifies its way of operation. To ensure trust, the partners went through a joint process of understanding each other's quality assurance methods.
- *Principle of subsidiarity:* with the principle of subsidiarity, the partners recognize that those issues which can be tackled at the local institutional level, should be dealt with on the local institutional level. Issues for which support and consultation on programme level between the partners is required, will be dealt with on the programme level.
- *Principle of core practices:* the partners agree on core practices to ensure a smooth functioning of the joint quality assurance system. These agreements are minimal but strict, and concern the following elements: involvement of stakeholders, timing, scope, tools and communication between the partners.

## 3. Joint structure to monitor the quality of the entire programme

All partners are committed to actively contribute to the continuous enhancement of joint programmes, by sharing and collectively discussing the output from all quality assurance activities that take place within the programme.

The management of the joint programme will be responsible for analysing and presenting the relevant information shared by all partner institutions. Students will play an active and important role by participating in the governance structure of joint programmes.

The responsibilities of the project management with regard to internal quality assurance include:

- Monitoring whether each partner adheres to the general principles and core practices agreed on by the partners.
- Considering and discussing the feedback resulting from quality assurance activities. This encompasses (i) collecting input from quality assurance activities from the partners, (ii) formulating and following up on actions for further improvement of the programme, and (iii) communicating the results of the joint quality assurance process, both internally and externally.
- Safeguarding the overall fitness for purpose of the curriculum and the entire joint programme in all its aspects.

The programme management can at any time ask the partners to further clarify any elements raised in the output from their own internal quality assurance processes, and will make recommendations for further improvement to the partners whenever required.

#### **4. Ensuring transparency in the functioning of the internal quality assurance systems of each partner**

From the general principles presented above follows a need for accountability and transparency among the partners, so as to ensure that each partner understands how all partners apply their own quality assurance mechanisms within their own institution. The partners decided to ensure full transparency by sharing and collecting information on their own internal quality assurance methods in a 'knowledge base'.

The knowledge base constitutes a self-serve digital information tool, through which the staff of the joint programme, can get a full insight in the way of operation of each partner. The knowledge base allows all stakeholders involved in the management of the programme to consult and compare information about the local systems with speed and ease.

The knowledge base is designed to provide staff and stakeholders with clear answers to concrete questions relating to any aspects of the internal quality assurance systems of the partners, such as:

- General description of the quality assurance system
- Governance structure of the quality assurance system
- Timing of the quality assurance system
- Stakeholder engagement
- Tools

All partners provided their own information in relation to the abovementioned topics, based on a set of accompanying questions. Before finalising the knowledge base, all information submitted by the partners in relation to each question was checked for completeness, accuracy and readability in a system of multiple peer-review, and was fine-tuned based on the feedback received. The information can be easily updated by the partners in the future whenever required.

The knowledge base can be consulted with simple navigation tools. The information can be browsed either by selecting specific partner institutions, or by selecting concrete topics (such as 'stakeholder engagement') or questions (for example: 'How are stakeholders informed of changes made based on their input?'). Concrete instructions on how to navigate through the available information are included in the homepage of the knowledge base.

#### **5. Core practices for a smooth functioning of the system**

The partners agreed on core practices for a smooth operation of the internal quality assurance system of joint programmes. The core practices concern the following elements: involvement of stakeholders, timing, scope, tools and communication. Each of these elements is illustrated below. The agreements on these topics are minimal but strict, and seek to offer all partners the flexibility to operate their own internal systems, while at the same time ensuring effective communication and decision-making at programme level. The implementation of the core practices by the partners will be given shape in close consultation between the programme administrators in each partner institution.

##### *Involvement of stakeholders*

All partners will involve both internal and external stakeholders in line with their own practices. Internal stakeholders include the students, teaching staff and support staff of the programme. External stakeholders include academic peers, representatives of the profession and alumni of the programme. The partners commit themselves to be fully transparent about which stakeholders they involve and how, and will share the input from the stakeholders with the management of the joint programme.

Partners have the opportunity to involve additional stakeholders if required by national regulations.

##### *Timing*

In the first years following the initial accreditation of a joint programme, each partner will engage students, teaching staff and support staff with an annual frequency as a minimum. The partners will also gain input from external stakeholders at least once in the same timeframe. The partners will report on an annual basis to the programme management about the input received.

After the accreditation of the joint programme, the partners will jointly establish a timeline to continue the internal quality enhancement at an optimal pace.

#### *Scope*

Each partner decides independently which elements to focus on in the consultation of stakeholders. There is no strict list of criteria established which each partner should query on a regular basis. The programme management can, however, suggest specific topics to query whenever deemed appropriate for the improvement of the programme.

The programme management will collect information from the partners, and will consider the input so as to ensure that all the aspects of the programme are monitored and improved on a regular basis. The management will look in particular into the following aspects of the programme:

- Learning outcomes
- Curriculum
- International mobility
- Teaching staff
- Student support
- Learning environment
- Assessment
- Information on the programme
- Information on the quality of the programme

The programme management will establish a set of operational guidelines for the partners with instructions on annual deadlines and reporting.

#### *Tools*

The partners will use their own tools and methods to seek input from stakeholders according to the above mentioned timeframe. The partners commit themselves to be fully transparent on which tools are being used, and will report on the results on an annual basis. A mix of both qualitative and quantitative tools will be used.

At programme level, the management will have the possibility to make use of programme-wide questionnaires to gather feedback from all students on the programme as a whole.

#### *Communication*

Each partner will communicate in a transparent manner which issues were identified by stakeholders, and how they will be remedied by the partner institution. Those issues which cannot be dealt with on local level will be shared with the programme management for consideration. Each partner will also actively identify good practices and share these with the other partners.

The programme management will communicate both internally and externally about the outcomes of internal quality assurance activities, and about the actions taken for further enhancement.

### **6. Concluding remarks: working together on a strong joint quality culture**

With the lean joint quality strategy based on general principles and core practices as presented in this paper, all Una Europa partners commit themselves to safeguarding the quality of joint programmes on a continuous basis. Together, the partners seek to monitor and further develop the programmes in all its aspects in a sustainable and enhancement-driven manner.

By doing so, the Una Europa partners can ensure that the joint programmes are embedded in a strong joint quality culture in which students, staff, institutional policy-makers and external stakeholders work together to give shape to the best possible learning environment.

#### **References:**

<https://www.una-europa.eu/>

*Please submit your proposal by sending this form, in Word format, by 26 July 2021 to [eqaf@eua.eu](mailto:eqaf@eua.eu). The file should be named using the last names of the authors, e.g. Smith\_Jones.doc. Please do not send a hard copy or a PDF file.*

